(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (CHHATTISGARH)

SINGLE BENCH

WRIT PETITION No. 2456 OF 2006

PETITIONERS:-

1. Ashish Tiwari, aged about 23 years, Son of Krishna Kumar Tiwari, resident of Sattipara, near Karbala, P.O. Ambikapur, Dist: Surguja (C.G).

2. Prasanna Parimal, aged about 19 year Son of S.R.Budek, resident of B.T.I. Colony, Mahalpara, P.O. Saraipali, Dist: Mahasamund (C.G)

3. Abhishek Prasad Deo, aged about 20 years, Son of Ajit Prasad Deo, resident

(i) Chandra Bhawan, Kastur Town, P.O. Baidyanath Deo Ghar, Jharkhand.

(ii) House No.30, house of Suresh Sharma, Patel nagar, Sitarampara, Patna (Bihar).

P.R. No. 25/10/06
Presented by Shri Shailesh Gupta
dated 25/10/06

Versus

RESPONDENTS :-

1. Pt.Ravi Shankar Shukla University, Raipur: through its Registrar.

2. Proctor-cum-Chairman, Anti-ragging Committee, Pt.Ravi Shanker Shukla University, Raipur(C.G).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

DETAILS OF THE PETITION

(32)

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH: BILASPUR

Single Bench: Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

W.P. No. 2456 OF 2006

Petitioners : Ashish Tiwari & others.

Versus

Respondents : Pt. Ravi Shankar Shukla University, Raipur and another.

Dr. Shailesh Ahuja, counsel for the petitioners.

None for the respondents.

ORAL ORDER

(11th May, 2006)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners were rusticated by order dated 1.10.2005 followed by a direction for eviction from the hostel. The petitioners challenged the order of rustication before this Court in W.P. No. 5247/2005.

2. This Court by order dated 25th January, 2006, quashed the impugned action of the University, rusticating the petitioners/students, on the ground that the impugned order was passed without complying with the mandate of the principles of natural justice and fair play in action.

3. This Court, however, reserved the liberty to the University to take appropriate action against all the petitioners/students, in accordance with law and in the light of the said order. Pursuant to or in furtherance of, the

said order, the Registrar respondent/university by the order dated 1.2.2006 (Annexure P-2) suspended the petitioner No.1/student during the pendency of enquiry in exercise of power under para 13(3) of the Ordinance No.7 issued under the Chhattisgarh Vishwa Vidyalaya Adhiniyam, 1973. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that identical order has been issued in case of other petitioners also. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the career of the petitioners/students, the enquiry be concluded expeditiously.

5. Having heard, learned counsel for the petitioners and having perused the documents appended to the petition, this Court is of the view that in the public interest, it is desirable that the enquiry be completed as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of six weeks, from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

6. For the reasons stated above, the matters stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Certified copy as per Rules.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge